



PROGRAM POLICY & STRATEGY COMMITTEE MEETING
April 26, 2011 3:30 p.m.
ELC Board Room

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| I. Welcome & Introductions | David Williams Jr., Co-Chair
The Hon. Cindy Lederman,
Co-Chair |
| II. Approval of Agenda | David Williams Jr., Co-Chair
The Hon. Cindy Lederman,
Co-Chair |
| III. Approval of March 29 Minutes | David Williams Jr., Co-Chair
The Hon. Cindy Lederman,
Co-Chair |
| IV. CEO Update | Evelio Torres |
| V. Monroe Performance Report | Mary Williams |
| VI. Miami-Dade Performance Report | Jackye Russell |
| VII. Inclusion Program Report | Edith Humes-Newbold |
| VIII. DCF Licensing Update | Suzette Frazier |
| IX. Parent Fee Payment | Jackye Russell |
| X. Enrollment Update | Edith Humes-Newbold |
| XI. Provider Re-payment Update | Edith Humes-Newbold |
| XII. Old Business | |
| XIII. New Business | |
| XIV. Public Comment | |
| XV. Adjourn | |

Mission: To promote high-quality school readiness, voluntary pre-kindergarten and after school programs, thus increasing all children's chances of achieving future educational success and becoming productive members of society. The Coalition seeks to further the physical, social, emotional and intellectual needs of Miami-Dade and Monroe County children with a priority toward the ages before birth through age 5.



Minutes

Program Policy & Strategy Committee Meeting
March 29, 2011; 3:30 p.m. – 5:30 p.m.
Early Learning Coalition of Miami-Dade/Monroe
Board Room
2555 Ponce De Leon Blvd Suite 500
Coral Gables, FL 33134

Committee Attendees: The Hon. Cindy Lederman; David Williams, Jr.; Shaleen Fagundo (via conference call); Roderick Beasley; Modesto Abety; Jacqui Colyer; Ramiro Moreno (via conference call)

Staff Attendees: Evelio Torres (CEO); Blythe Robinson; Tabatha Cullen, Jackye Russell; Mary Williams (via conference call); Angelo Parrino; Kristina Alonso; Leeana Pena; Milton Silvera

General Attendees: Linda Carmona (AECE); Edith Humes-Newbold (Miami-Dade County); Susan Tucker (YMCA of Greater Miami); Luisa Sanchez (YWCA of Greater Miami); Suzette Frazier (Department of Children and Families)

I. Welcome and Introductions

- C. Lederman welcomed the committee, staff and attendees.
- A quorum was established with six (6) voting members.

II. Approval of January February 22nd Minutes

- D. Williams moved to approve the February 22, 2011 minutes.
- R. Beasley seconded the motion.
- Motion was unanimously passed.

III. CEO Update

- E. Torres shared the CEO Update.
 - The biggest concern was a projected budget deficit of 3.75 billion dollars. Last year, there was a deficit of \$38 million to School Readiness which was supplanted with ARRA dollars. This year the base budget is the same as last, however there are no ARRA dollars to make up for the deficit.
 - The VPK base student allocation will be retained for both summer and the school year. There was a slight reduction from \$2562 to \$2555. In the house, reductions are about 7%. VPK program support and administration dollars are now reduced to 4% from 4.5%.
 - There is a government reorganization bill that has the Office of Early Learning overseeing both School Readiness (SR) and VPK. There is also some discussion about sending SR to DCF and VPK to the Department of Education (DOE). It is believed to be more expensive this way. The preference would be to create an agency for Early Learning or to place all programs under the new jobs agency.



- We are also keeping a close eye on class size. There is a proposal to increase the ratio for VPK to appease providers who are losing on the base student allocation.
- Employment statistics are better overall, in the state.

IV. Monroe Performance Report

- M. Williams shared details from the Monroe performance report.
- Timely ASQ's are a challenge that Wesley house is addressing.

V. Miami-Dade Performance Report

- J. Russell gave highlights from the Miami-Dade performance report.
 - B. Sands explained the ASQ process for SR children. Hiring staff for the inclusion program has been a challenge for Citrus.
 - C. Lederman requested that an update from Miami-Dade County regarding the number of referrals completed for children whose ASQ's indicated concerns is added to the next agenda.
 - C. Lederman stated that these children should be a priority and asked how we are going to make up for lost time.
 - R. Beasley stated that there needs to be a corrective action plan put into place.
 - E. Torres stated that the coalition has already requested a corrective action plan.
 - D. Williams asked if there was a penalty for not complying with the contract.
 - E. Torres responded that the penalty is that the service provider would not be able to bill the coalition for services. There is no way that the coalition is going to be able to pay \$1.1 million on this contract, so an amendment for \$300,000 was submitted to reduce the contract to \$800,000. Now we are looking at possibly reducing the contract further.

VI. Provider Minimum Standards

- J. Russell stated that new minimum standards for providers are being considered. Some of the potential requirements include:
 - Providers must submit attendance and contract electronically.
 - Conduct an assessment on all providers. Providers will be required to have a minimum score on the Environmental Rating Scale (ERS) and an identified CLASS score.
 - Providers would need to obtain a star rating, even if they did not participate in the Quality Counts program.
 - Family literacy activities would need to be implemented.
 - Providers would be required to provide proof of financial stability.
 - As opposed to only submitting a contract, providers should also submit an application that would precede the agreement to be reviewed by the coalition to make a determination whether or not a provider could then submit an agreement.
- R. Moreno asked J. Russell to elaborate on how a provider would provide proof of financial stability.
- J. Russell responded that a provider could demonstrate that they were financial stable by providing an audit from a firm or other financial statements.
- E. Torres added that these are only suggestions. Many of these suggestions have come from childcare providers who have expressed their concerns that anyone can have a contract with the coalitions without having to meet a minimum quality



standard. However, most of the providers that we are currently working with would meet these standards. We need to give some thought as to what the standards should be. The idea is that all SR children would be placed in the highest quality providers possible. E. Torres added that a workgroup is being put together to look at the potential requirements. We are trying to elevate the quality of programs in the community and are particularly concerned about some of the underserved areas. We are trying to focus on these areas where children perhaps do not have the same opportunities and would provide additional resources to those centers and families.

- S. Fagundo asked who would be conducting the ERS and CLASS assessments.
- E. Torres responded that as of yet no decisions have been made. If this requirement makes it to the final cut, then this would be discussed since there is a cost involved.
- R. Beasley stated that requiring providers to submit contracts and attendance electronically will impact smaller organizations who may not have the capabilities to do so.
- E. Torres stated that we would identify these individuals and assist them so that there is a level playing field.
- R. Beasley stated that while he does not object to implementing these quality standards we need to make sure that they do not put a hardship on some of these providers.
- S. Fagundo stated that this is a good effort on the part of the coalition to support providers.

VII. Enrollment Update

- E. Humes-Newbold stated that it is anticipated that the number of excess children receiving SR services will reduce through attrition.
- E. Humes-Newbold stated that a tiered approach is being used for VPK registration this year. This year there will also be an expedited service offered for providers who have 35 or more VPK children to register. It has been done with Head Start and has worked very well.
- S. Fagundo asked who a provider can contact in order to take advantage of this.
- E. Humes-Newbold responded that center should e-mail the eligibility manager in their corresponding office and the manager will send out a packet.

VIII. Provider Repayment Update

- E. Humes-Newbold stated that to date we have recuperated \$105,310 from providers who were serving children under five and were not authorized to do so.

IX. Old Business

- S. Fagundo asked for an update on the training on licensing requirements.
- S. Frazier responded that a training session for the Board members is taking place on April 4th. Provider trainings will also be provided in three weeks.

X. Public Comment

- L. Carmona stated that at last board meeting, there was a motion to approve the new parent payment scale. L. Carmona asked if it had been revisited. In modifying the financial eligibility for the BG8 category, the parent copayment did not change.
- J. Russell stated that the board made the decision not to increase parent fees because of the economy.



- L. Carmona stated that we've adopted new criteria but no new copayments. It is important that the finance committee consider this. We are allowing parents to pay less and the coalition will end up having to pay the difference.
- S. Fagundo asked if the committee can see what the anticipated cost to the coalition would be.
- J. Russell stated that this can be provided to the committee.

XI. Adjourn.

- C. Lederman adjourned the meeting.



Monroe County: 2010/2011 Performance Report – March 2011

Outcome	Indicator	Target	Y-T-D Actual (7/10 – 6/11)	% Achieved Y-T-D	% Year Elapsed	Comments	Data Source
I. Eligible children birth to five with parental consent are screened using the Ages and Stages Developmental Screening Questionnaire (ASQ)	Completion of ASQs (based on the child's date of entry and/or birthdate)	600	567	95%	75%		WHFS
	Percent of ASQs completed timely within 45 days of program entry	100%	99/232	43%	75%		
	Percent of children with "red flags" who receive an Individual Improvement Plan	100%	51/51	100%	75%		
	Percent of children with valid "red flags" (with parental consent) who receive Battelle screen	100%	34/51	69%	75%		
	Disposition of children without parental consent for Battelle screen		17			8- already receiving services; 2- moved out of area; 7 direct referrals	
II. Eligible children birth to five years with agreement providers and parental consent are assessed at pre and post using Creative Curriculum Developmental Continuum Assessment (CCDA)	Completion of pre assessments (Sept – Nov)	450	355	79%	75%	Pre assessment period is Sept – Nov	WHFS
	Completion of post assessments (March – May)	450	0	0%	75%	Post assessment period is March – May	
III. Eligible children birth to five with parental consent received a comprehensive child health screening conducted by FKAHEC medical team	Completion of health screening results form	450	387	86%	75%	96 Referrals: 36- Dental 17- Vision 16- Hearing 27- Physician	FKAHEC
IV. School Readiness providers have submitted signed Provider Agreements	Percent of Signed Provider Agreement Forms	100%	20 centers 34 fcchs 54	100%	75%		ELC Monroe Office
V. Agreement providers, including centers and family child care homes, received a Coalition approved environmental assessment	Completion of environmental assessments	70%	9 center 25 fcchs 34	89%	75%		WHFS
VI. Participating Monroe providers received available Coalition mentoring/inclusion services	Number of provider visits	600	446	74%	75%	Upper Keys mentor on maternity leave Oct - Nov	ELC Monroe Office
	Number of provider trainings (individual, small or large group)	24	18	75%	75%		
VII. Participating VPK providers received a monitoring visit to verify enrollment ratios; confirm	Completion of VPK monitoring tool	24	19	79%	75%	VPK monitoring began Jan. 2011	WHFS

Outcome	Indicator	Target	Y-T-D Actual (7/10 - 6/11)	% Achieved Y-T-D	% Year Elapsed	Comments	Data Source
the teacher of record on the Form 11 is the current teacher and providers are maintaining up to date parental choice certificates							
VIII. VPK enrollment increased 5% from the previous 09/10 school year enrollment (421 unduplicated count)	Generation of Enrollment Report from EFS (Aug '10 - May '11)	442	443	99%	100%		WHFS

School Year 2010-2011 VPK Certificates Issued as of 3/31/11- 490
 Percent School Year 2010-2011 VPK Children Enrolled as of 3/31/11- 90%

School Readiness Child Enrollment as of 3/31/11- 755
 School Readiness Child Wait List as of 3/31/11- 0
 CCEP Enrollment as of 3/31/11- 17



Miami-Dade County: 10/11 Performance Report - March 2011

<i>Outcome</i>	<i>Indicator</i>	<i>Target</i>	<i>Y-T-D Actual</i>	<i>Percentage/ Difference</i>	<i>Comments</i>	<i>Data Source</i>
I. Eligible children birth to five with parental consent are screened using the Ages and Stages Developmental Screening Questionnaire (ASQ)	Completion of ASQs (based on the child's date of entry and/or birth date)	17,500 (annual estimate)	See Attachment			CDS monthly reports
	Number of children with "red flags" who receive an Individual Improvement Plan	100% up to *1700 is reference # (Contract)	See Attachment			
	Number of referrals		See Attachment			
II. Random sample of children with parental consent are assessed at pre and post using Learning Accomplishment Profile-Diagnostic (LAP-D) or the Early Learning Accomplishment Profile (E-LAP) depending on the age of the child.	Completion of pre assessments (Sept - Nov)	450	479	106%	Exceeded goal	CDS monthly reports
	Completion of post assessments (March - May)	450	N/A			
IV. Eligible children enrolled in services during managed open enrollment to meet enrollment target.	Number of children enrolled in care.	26,900	28,405	1505 over target.		ELC Weekly Report
V. Eligible children enrolled in CCEP billing category.	Number of children enrolled in CCEP	900	823	91%		ELC Weekly Report
VI School Readiness Providers have submitted	Signed Provider Agreement form	100%	1652	100%		ELC Provider Database

<i>Outcome</i>	<i>Indicator</i>	<i>Target</i>	<i>Y-T-D Actual</i>	<i>Percentage/ Difference</i>	<i>Comments</i>	<i>Data Source</i>
signed Provider Agreements						
VII Participating Quality Counts providers have completed Performance Improvement Plans	Baseline Rating awarded and QIP entered into WELs system	100%	455 Total Providers 398 Baselines 388 QIP			WELs system
VIII Participating VPK providers who received a monitoring visit.	Completion of VPK monitoring tool	496	340 Private 72 MDCPS 412 Total	83%		ELC Monitoring Report
IX. VPK enrollment	EFS Enrollment Report from EFS (Aug '09 - June '10)	22000	20966	95%		EFS Enrollment Report

School Year 2011-2012 VPK Certificates Issued as of 3/31/2011 7,863

*Certified Providers as of 3/31/11 1,015 Please note this is always a moving number since we continue to certify new providers; 50% of active providers (992) should be monitored (496).

School Readiness Child Wait List as of 4/25/2011 - 12,890

School Readiness Child Wait List- Ages Birth to 8 - 10,982 (85%)

**Citrus Health Network, Inc.
Inclusion Program
Year To Date Service Table
April 25, 2011**

Service *denotes a new/enhanced activity for this contract year	Description / Goal	YTD through March 31, 2011
Validations	Conduct concern validation and verification of child availability and eligibility	1,484 Total Completed 361 Pending
IIP's	Develop Individualized Intervention Plans (IIP) and/or provide classroom strategy consultations as interventions.	274 Total 190 IIP's Implemented 84 Classroom Strategy Consultations
*Level II Screens	Administer Level II screens (BASC-2, ASQ S/E, BDI-2, PLS4 screener etc) to make appropriate intervention plans & expedite referrals to FDLRS.	125 at IIP level 90 at ILSP level
* ILSP's	Develop ILSP's for children with continued concerns post IIP or with pervasive concerns at program onset. Parent decline serves as documentation of effort to provide interventions.	101 Total 81 Implemented 20 Declined
*Post ILSP ASQ	Assist in administration of ASQ Post ILSP interventions	10

*Parent Technical Assistance/ Consultation	Provide parent consultation/technical assistance sessions to assist with implementation of intervention plan strategies and coordination of services.	375 Sessions
Teacher Technical Assistance/Consultation	Provide teacher consultations/technical assistance sessions for implementation of intervention plans and classroom strategies	705 Sessions
*Follow up Service	All documented efforts to locate children on list, determine eligibility, schedule validations, locate when not there, contact parents to schedule intakes, reach out to offer services when no response, enlist provider assistance in engaging parent and offer services as well as all referral activities.	1,206 unique contacts
Provider Trainings	Facilitate provider trainings	28 Total (Attendance as high as 72 at one session)
*Parent Engagement Activities	Facilitate a minimum of 2 parent engagement activities	1 (additional sessions scheduled on 4/27/11 & 5/6/11)
*Referral Linkage & Care Coordination	Provide parents with referrals for services as needed, including linkage, coordination and follow up to maximize chances that child will receive services	123 – Total Referrals 67 – Submitted to Agency 23 – FDLRS/15 Accepted to date 15 – Early Steps/13 Accepted to date 29 – Other (mainly speech)/22 Accepted 5 – Denied by parent 51 – In process (incomplete – need more information)

Impact of New Parent Fee Scale

# of children in each family	total # of children	% by # of children in family	approx # of children from families with adjusted fees	Change in fee - first child	Estimated # first child	Change in Fee -- add'l children	Estimated # add'l children	Daily \$ adj	Annual impact
1	7,659	29.05%	308	-0.8	308	-0.40	0	(246.37)	(64,302.18)
2	9,697	36.78%	780	-0.8	390	-0.40	390	(467.91)	(122,123.24)
3	4,814	18.26%	581	-0.8	194	-0.40	387	(309.68)	(80,827.03)
4	2,626	9.96%	422	-0.8	106	-0.40	317	(211.15)	(55,109.34)
5	947	3.59%	190	-0.8	38	-0.40	152	(91.41)	(23,856.86)
6	619	2.35%	149	-0.8	25	-0.40	125	(69.74)	(18,202.78)
	26,362		2,431		1,060		1,371		(364,421.42)

Example 1 – Provider's Daily Market Rate = \$24.00 (Infants)

Family of 3, Family Income \$18,259 ,1 child in care 2009 Parent Fee Schedule				Family of 3, Family Income \$18,259 ,1 child in care 2011 Parent Fee Schedule				Daily adjustment	261 days
	\$	3.20	Provider receives from Parent		\$	2.40	Provider receives from Parent	(0.80)	\$ (208.80)
	\$	20.20	Provider receives from ELC		\$	21.00	Provider receives from ELC	0.80	\$ 208.80
(1)	\$	23.40	Total received from Fee and ELC	(1)	\$	23.40	Total received from Fee and ELC		
(2)	\$	24.00	Provider's Daily Market Rate	(2)	\$	24.00	Provider's Daily Market Rate		
(2) - (1)	\$	0.60	Additional due from Parent	(2) - (1)	\$	0.60	Additional due from Parent	-	

Example 2 – Provider's Daily Market Rate = \$39.00 (Infants)

Family of 3, Family Income \$18,259 ,1 child in care 2009 Parent Fee Schedule				Family of 3, Family Income \$18,259 ,1 child in care 2011 Parent Fee Schedule				Daily adjustment	261 days
	\$	3.20	Provider receives from Parent		\$	2.40	Provider receives from Parent	(0.80)	\$ (208.80)
	\$	20.20	Provider receives from ELC		\$	21.00	Provider receives from ELC	0.80	\$ 208.80
(1)	\$	23.40	Total received from Fee and ELC	(1)	\$	23.40	Total received from Fee and ELC		
(2)	\$	39.00	Provider's Daily Market Rate	(2)	\$	39.00	Provider's Daily Market Rate		
(2) - (1)	\$	15.60	Additional due from Parent	(2) - (1)	\$	15.60	Additional due from Parent	-	

\$ 208.80 buys **54** gallons of milk

OR

57 gallons of gas

OR

105 loaves of bread

OR

7 cases of diapers

Early Learning Coalition of Miami-Dade/Monroe Counties

Responses to Implementation of Revised Parent Fee Schedule

1. What percentage of the current parent fee does the increase (\$208) represent?

All families will not be eligible for the reduced parent fee, since many families will still fall into the same 'tier' on the revised schedule. Since the tables are sliding scales, the % of difference varies for each 'tier' based on the accumulative sliding fee factor. As with all sliding scales, the lower tiered income levels have a higher scale of change. As income increases, the scale of change decreases. The example given of dropping from \$3.20 in 2009 to \$2.40 in 2011 is highlighted in yellow in the chart below. There is no standard percent of change.

Qualifies for in 2011	Current fee	Difference	Sliding scale	% difference
	0.80	(0.80)		-100.00%
0.80	1.60	(0.80)	-50.00%	-50.00%
1.60	2.40	(0.80)	-16.67%	-33.33%
2.40	3.20	(0.80)	-8.33%	-25.00%
3.20	4.00	(0.80)	-5.00%	-20.00%
4.00	4.80	(0.80)	-3.33%	-16.67%
4.80	5.60	(0.80)	-2.38%	-14.29%
5.60	6.40	(0.80)	-1.79%	-12.50%
6.40	7.20	(0.80)	-1.39%	-11.11%
7.20	8.00	(0.80)	-1.11%	-10.00%
8.00	8.80	(0.80)	-0.91%	-9.09%
8.80	9.60	(0.80)	-0.76%	-8.33%
9.60	10.40	(0.80)	-0.64%	-7.69%
10.40	11.20	(0.80)	-0.55%	-7.14%

\$208.80 is the estimated annual benefit to a qualifying family of three, with one child in care. The total annual impact of \$364K is approximately 3% of current total annual parent fee contributions.

2. How does #1 compare to the current cost of living increase in Miami Dade?

Sliding scales are determined by using current consumer price indexes and cost of living adjustment indexes as well as income levels and family size to determine the scale increments.

Early Learning Coalition of Miami-Dade/Monroe Counties

Responses to Implementation of Revised Parent Fee Schedule

3. In your example you have the coalition's maximum reimbursement for infants (about \$24 for an 11 hour day or \$2.11/hr). It is important for committee members and board members to consider that just the current average for an infant's teacher's salary in Miami-Dade is about \$8 an hour does and the ratio for that age group is 4:1. Also, this is the highest paying group of kids.

What percent of the current population served fall in this age group? Please provide a breakdown with percentages of the current population served and their respective daily reimbursements so we can gain a better understanding of how these number impact the other age groups. (ie two school age children represent about the same amount as one infant)

For February 2011, the infant care level represented 5% of total children served. Please see the table below for further details:

Care level	% of children served	ELC FT Max	ELC FT Max with Gold Seal	AWI FT Max	% of AWI FT Max paid by ELC
Infant	5.00%	\$ 23.40	\$ 28.08	\$ 30.00	94%
Toddler	10.62%	\$ 20.40	\$ 24.48	\$ 27.00	91%
2 year old	13.97%	\$ 19.80	\$ 23.76	\$ 26.00	91%
3 year old	16.03%	\$ 18.60	\$ 22.32	\$ 25.00	89%
4 year old	15.34%	\$ 18.60	\$ 22.32	\$ 25.00	89%
5 year old	12.65%	\$ 18.60	\$ 22.32	\$ 25.00	89%
School-age	26.39%	\$ 16.60	\$ 19.92	\$ 23.00	87%

4. **The second part of your example has a provider reimbursement of \$39 a day for infants. Is that AWI's maximum reimbursement rate? Is it accurate to say then that the coalition has chosen to reimburse at 61.5% of the recommended amount?**

The \$39.00 used in the example is the market rate for a randomly selected provider. This is **not** the AWI maximum reimbursement rate for infants in Miami-Dade County. The maximum AWI rate for infants in Miami-Dade County is currently \$30.00 per day. The current ELC maximum infant rate of \$23.40 is 78% of the AWI maximum; with Gold Seal the current ELC maximum infant rate of \$28.08 is 93% of the AWI maximum.

Please see the table in Question #3 for further details.

Early Learning Coalition of Miami-Dade/Monroe Counties

Responses to Implementation of Revised Parent Fee Schedule

- 5. If this parent fee increase is not adopted, is it accurate to say that the coalition's budget would suffer this additional hit of \$364k you mentioned on top of the expected reductions from this current legislative session?**

The anticipated fiscal impact of the revised sliding fee scale has been incorporated into our daily rate for future projections and represents a minimal impact to the overall budget. However, depending on the outcome of the legislative appropriations process, the ELC Board may have to make difficult decisions in order to address any reductions in the 2011-12 budget.

- 6. If #4 is yes, then how many children are expected to be dis-enrolled because of it?**

At this time, we do not expect to dis-enroll any children, since natural attrition will most likely compensate for any reduction in funding of direct child care services.

- 7. You mentioned the fact that providers are allowed to charge the difference. Do we have any data that tells us how many of the current providers actually charge the difference between the SR reimbursement and their current published rate? If so, how many?**

Currently, there is no data telling how many of current providers charge and collect the difference between their SR reimbursement rates and their published rates.

Adjustment Breakdown for Enrichment Providers (EP) for the period July 2010-January 2011

Total amount recouped for unauthorized services: \$105,836.99

Provider	EIN Number	Amount
AMERICAN JU-JITSU CENTER INC (EP)	30451180 - 1	(\$3,730.80)
AMERICAN TAEKWONDO ACADEMY & SPORTS (EP)	650575431 - 1	(\$418.00)
ART AND SPORT CENTER (EP)	651099602 - 0	(\$673.40)
BAS I KYOKUSHIN KARATE INC (EP)	202953953 - 0	(\$1,446.04)
BLACK BELT FOR LIFE STUDIOS INC. (EP)	202914429 - 0	(\$1,139.60)
CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS/BUCCANEER PARK(EP)	113695944 - 2	(\$524.80)
CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS/LAKE LUCERNE (EP)	113695944 - 5	(\$464.40)
CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS/MYRTLE GROVE P(EP)	113695944 - 7	(\$653.20)
CITY OF NMB/PARKS & REC (B.L.A.S.T) (EP)	596000389 - 7	(\$962.00)
CITY OF SUNNY ISLES BEACH (EP)	650784647 - 0	(\$1,316.40)
COOL KIDS LEARN INC (EP)	43594334 - 13	(\$285.09)
DIVINE FIST KUNG-FU INC (EP)	412079941 - 0	(\$2,352.40)
DJ'S FITNESS STATIONS INC (EP)	650549219 - 0	(\$2,658.24)
FIT KIDS OF AMERICA CORP (EP)	341991762 - 0	(\$2,838.40)
GLADIATOR WRESTLING INC (EP)	204222594 - 0	(\$525.60)
HARDCORE BOXING & FITNESS INC (EP)	272080429 - 0	(\$3,647.80)
HIALEAH SCHOOL OFSELFDEFENSEINC.&GYM(EP)	592065456 - 0	(\$1,206.80)
INTERNAT KARATE-DO KURO-DO DOJO INC (EP)	30450527 - 0	(\$912.96)
INTERNATIONAL GYMNASTICS TRAINING (EP)	651050009 - 0	(\$4,993.04)
JAY'S AFTERSCHOOL ENRICHMENT CENTER (EP)	264569936 - 0	(\$7,145.60)
KIDZ CITY ACADEMY INC (EP)	261294050 - 0	(\$577.20)
KNOWLEDGE BUILDERS OF FLORIDA INC (EP)	651128844 - 0	(\$1,932.72)
KWON JAE HWA TAEKWON DO MIAMI INC. (EP)	650891734 - 0	(\$2,540.00)
LAO SEE MARTIAL ARTS CORP (EP)	223919507 - 0	(\$510.60)
LINCOLN MARTI (EP)	650267626 - 8	(\$414.00)
LOTZ OF LOVE AFTERCARE, INC (EP)	271799858 - 0	(\$4,788.40)
MG MARTIAL ARTS AND SPORT CENTER (EP)	201023559 - 1	(\$1,426.64)
MIAMI DADE-AFRICAN HERITAGE CULTURAL(EP)	305638677 - 0	(\$3,659.20)

Adjustment Breakdown for Enrichment Providers (EP) for the period July 2010-January 2011

Total amount recouped for unauthorized services: \$105,836.99

Provider	EIN Number	Amount
NEW LIFE AFTER SCHOOL PROGRAM (EP)	611553613 - 0	(\$577.20)
NISEI GOJU RYU KARATE AND / JUJISTU (EP)	650540348 - 1	(\$3,645.44)
OASIS OF LOVE CHRISTIAN ACADEMY (EP)	650895837 - 0	(\$572.48)
SANUCES SELF DEFENSE INC. (EP)	651154518 - 0	(\$5,112.12)
SMART KIDS/AFTER SCHOOL CARE NETWORK(EP)	562513528 - 0	(\$798.50)
SOUTH FLORIDA AFTER SCHOOL ALL STARS(EP)	650715767 - 0	(\$353.80)
SOUTH FLORIDA AFTER SCHOOL ALL STARS(EP)	650715767 - 3	(\$981.76)
SOUTH FLORIDA AFTER SCHOOL ALL STARS(EP)	650715767 - 4	(\$550.80)
SOUTH FLORIDA AFTER SCHOOL ALL STARS(EP)	650715767 - 6	(\$201.12)
THE AFTERSCHOOL HANGOUT INC (EP)	263886078 - 0	(\$1,811.40)
THE RESOURCE ROOM/ CHILDREN VILLAGE (EP)	651110424 - 0	(\$612.69)
TIGER AND DRAGON GROUP INC (EP)	562506318 - 1	(\$592.00)
TRANSITIONING LIVES CENTER INC (EP)	943480137 - 0	(\$1,358.80)
UNITED MARTIAL ARTS INC (EP)	510499795 - 0	(\$855.20)
UNITED STATES KENPO FEDERATION (EP)	650525802 - 0	(\$4,387.00)
VERNON M JONES JR./BLAM KARATE (EP)	266714349 - 0	(\$6,024.20)
WARRINGS WORLD CHAMPION KICKBOXING (EP)	650700019 - 0	(\$1,754.80)
YMCA / ADVANCED LEARNING CHARTER SCH(EP)	590624464 - 106	(\$952.80)
YMCA / BANYAN ELEMENTARY (EP)	590624464 - 52	(\$1,442.00)
YMCA / CAMPBELL DRIVE ELEM (EP)	590624464 - 54	(\$302.80)
YMCA / CAROL CITY ELEM: A/S (EP)	590624464 - 7	(\$356.00)
YMCA / COMSTOCK ELEMENTARY (EP)	590624464 - 57	(\$299.20)
YMCA / DAVID FAIRCHILD ELEMENTARY (EP)	590624464 - 121	(\$443.60)
YMCA / DOUGLAS ELEM B/A (EP)	590624464 - 58	(\$353.60)
YMCA / ETHEL F BECKFORD/RICHMOND ELE(EP)	590624464 - 60	(\$482.40)
YMCA / FRANCES S. TUCKER ELE (EP)	590624464 - 61	(\$588.40)
YMCA / FULFORD ELEM: A/S (EP)	590624464 - 21	(\$933.80)
YMCA / GERTRUDE K EDELMAN/SABAL PALM(EP)	590624464 - 2	(\$596.40)

Adjustment Breakdown for Enrichment Providers (EP) for the period July 2010-January 2011

Total amount recouped for unauthorized services: \$105,836.99

Provider	EIN Number	Amount
YMCA / GRATIGNY ELEM A/S (EP)	590624464 - 64	(\$1,187.20)
YMCA / HENRY MACK/WEST LITTLE RIVER (EP)	590624464 - 29	(\$551.20)
YMCA / HIBISCUS ELEM: A /S (EP)	590624464 - 20	(\$346.80)
YMCA / HOLMES ELE B/A (EP)	590624464 - 65	(\$823.20)
YMCA / LITTLE RIVER ELEMENTARY (EP)	590624464 - 38	(\$1,054.72)
YMCA / MAYA ANGELOU ELE. B/A (EP)	590624464 - 73	(\$815.44)
YMCA / MIAMI LAKES ELEM: A/S (EP)	590624464 - 145	(\$378.00)
YMCA / MORNINGSIDE ELEM B/A (EP)	590624464 - 76	(\$881.25)
YMCA / NORLAND ELEMENTARY A/S (EP)	590624464 - 15	(\$378.40)
YMCA / NORTH GLADE ELEMENTARY A/S (EP)	590624464 - 24	(\$842.40)
YMCA / NORTH MIAMI ELEMENTARY (EP)	590624464 - 77	(\$669.20)
YMCA / RAINBOW PARK ELEMENTARY A/S (EP)	590624464 - 23	(\$570.00)
YMCA / SNAPPER CREEK ELEMENTARY (EP)	590624464 - 131	(\$1,348.80)
YMCA / ST JOHN THE APOSTLE SCHOOL (EP)	590624464 - 101	(\$1,580.24)
YWCA / ALLAPATTAH ELE B/A CARE (EP)	590624450 - 16	(\$253.22)
YWCA / BRENTWOOD ELEM A/S (EP)	590624450 - 13	(\$2,351.67)
YWCA / HENRY E.S. REEVES (EP)	590624450 - 3	(\$196.80)
YWCA / SANTA CLARA ELEM (EP)	590624450 - 31	(\$924.81)
		(\$105,836.99)